This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of (2-Hydroxyethyl) 2-Deoxy- α -D-*Threo*-Pyranoside 3,4,2'-Trisphosphate, A Mimic of the Second Messenger Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate

Fabien Roussel; Mauricette Hilly; Françoise Chrétien; Jean-Pierre Mauger; Yves Chapleur

To cite this Article Roussel, Fabien , Hilly, Mauricette , Chrétien, Françoise , Mauger, Jean-Pierre and Chapleur, Yves(1999) 'Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of (2-Hydroxyethyl) 2-Deoxy- α -D-*Threo*-Pyranoside 3,4,2'-Trisphosphate, A Mimic of the Second Messenger Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 18: 6, 697 – 707

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328309908544031 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328309908544031

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SYNTHESIS AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF (2-HYDROXYETHYL) 2-DEOXY-α-D-*THREO*-PYRANOSIDE 3,4,2'-TRISPHOSPHATE, A MIMIC OF

THE SECOND MESSENGER INOSITOL 1,4,5-TRISPHOSPHATE

Fabien Roussel,^a Mauricette Hilly,^b Françoise Chrétien,^{a*} Jean-Pierre Mauger^b and Yves Chapleur^a

 ^aGroupe SUCRES, UMR 7565 CNRS - Université Henri Poincaré-Nancy I, Case 79, BP 239, F-54506 Nancy Vandoeuvre (France).
^b Unité INSERM U442, Université de Paris Sud, Bât 443, F-91405 Orsay (France).

Received January 14, 1999 - Final Form May 11, 1999

ABSTRACT

(2-Hydroxyethyl) 2-deoxy- α -D-threo-pentopyranoside 3,4,2'-trisphosphate (3) has been prepared starting from allyl- α -D-xylopyranoside. The suitably protected 2-deoxy intermediate obtained by judicious selective protection and deprotection has been phosphorylated using the phosphoramidite methodology. Final deprotection gave the expected analogue of *myo*-inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate.

INTRODUCTION

Interest in *myo*-inositol phosphates is focused mainly on their intracellular activity as second messengers.¹ D-*myo*-inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate [Ins(1,4,5)P₃] functions as an intracellular second messenger. The interaction with its receptor, IP₃-R, induces calcium mobilisation from intracellular stores. In order to find agonists and antagonists of IP₃-R, analogues of IP₃ have been synthesized.² We have recently introduced D-xylose-based analogue 1 of InsP₃ which is a full agonist of IP₃-R.³ Other derivatives based on Dglucose and designed by analogy with the natural agonist adenophostin have been reported.⁴ Sugar-based analogues are easier to prepare than inositol derivatives and are produced in enantiomerically pure form. They provide a platform for the exploration of structure-activity relationship. In connection with such a programme, we wanted to confirm the role of the hydroxyl group at C-2 of 1 which has been recognized as important in the InsP₃ series (6-OH). We report here the chemical synthesis of the 2deoxy derivative 3. The synthesis of 2-deoxy sugars is often based on glycal chemistry ^{5,6} or on the stepwise deoxygenation of a suitably protected derivative.⁷ An elegant methodology based on anomeric radical migration has also been described.⁸ The close reactivities of the equatorial alcohols of D-xylose preclude selective protection and also selective cyclic acetal formation. We took advantage of the formation of a six-membered bisacetal at positions 2,3 and 3,4 to reach compounds having the required free 2-OH group.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The α -D-xylopyranoside (4) was treated with 2,2,3,3known allyl tetramethoxybutane⁹ in methanol in the presence of a catalytic amount of (±)camphorsulfonic acid to give an equimolar mixture of the 2,3 and the 3,4 regioisomers. The desired crystalline compound 5 was easily obtained in 46% yield after column chromatography.¹⁰ Ozonolysis of 5 followed by reductive work-up with sodium borohydride gave the expected 2-hydroxyethyl xyloside derivative 6 in 98% yield. The resulting primary hydroxyl group was selectively protected as a trityl ether to provide compound 7. The C-2 hydroxyl group of 7 was then converted into its methyl xanthate 8^{11} which could be deoxygenated using the Barton-McCombie method¹² to afford compound 9 in 86% yield.



Scheme 1



Reagents: i) MeC(OMe)₂C(OMe)₂Me, CSA, MeOH, (MeO)₃CH, reflux, 90 min (46%); ii) O₃, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, -70 °C, 90 min then NaBH₄ (98%); iii) TrCl, DMAP, pyridine, 80 °C, 30h, (71%); iv) NaH, CS₂, THF then MeI (90%).

Scheme 2

Having compound 9 in hand we were only two steps (acid hydrolysis and phosphorylation) away from our target trisphosphate compound 3. Removal of the 3,4 bisacetal and the trityl groups by treatment of 9 with trifluoroacetic acid did not furnish the desired compound 15 but an inseparable mixture of two polar compounds. In order to establish the structure of these compounds, the mixture was acetylated and the corresponding acetates separated by preparative HPLC. The first eluting product was identified as the 1,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-2-deoxy- α -D-*threo*-pentopyranose (10),⁸ the second product was its β isomer 11. Removal of the protective groups also results in the cleavage of the glycosidic linkage to give back an α , β anomeric mixture of the 2-deoxy parent sugar. In order to overcome the acid lability of deoxy compound 9, we carried out the deoxygenation step after acidic cleavage of the bisacetal and the trityl groups.

Hydrolysis of 8 with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane gave compound 12 which was converted into its di-O-acetyl derivative 13 for purification and structure determination. Compound 13 was then reacted with tributyltin hydride and α, α azoisobutyronitrile (AIBN) in refluxing toluene to give the deoxygenated product 14 in



Reagents: i) Bu₃SnH, AIBN, toluene (86%); ii) 95% aqTFA-CH₂Cl₂ (1-1), room temp., 15 min then Ac₂O, pyridine.

Scheme 3

91% yield. Phosphate units were introduced using the phosphoramidite method. After removal of the acetyl groups of 14, the resulting trihydroxy product 15 was phosphitylated with bis(2-cyanoethyl) N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite¹³ in the presence of 1*H*-tetrazole in dichloromethane. Oxidation of the intermediate trisphosphite with tbutyl hydroperoxide gave the fully protected compound 16 in 66% overall yield which was cleanly deprotected by heating in a 0.5 M methanolic potassium hydroxide solution to yield the target trisphosphate 3. Compound 3 was purified by ion exchange chromatography and isolated as its hexasodium salt.

The binding affinity of compound 3 for the IP₃ receptor of rat cerebellar membranes was evaluated *in vitro* with [³H]IP₃ as a radioligand.¹⁴ Compound 3 showed about 100 fold lower potency (IC₅₀ = 24.5 μ M) than compound 1 (IC₅₀ = 220 nM) and 2000 fold lower potency than InsP₃ (IC₅₀ = 13 nM) itself. Thus this study demonstrates the important role of the hydroxyl group at C-2 of 1 and contributes to define the minimal structural requirements for a good receptor affinity: the presence of the triad 4,5 *trans* bisphosphate¹⁵ next to an hydroxyl group, this triad being flanked by a less important third phosphate.

CONCLUSION

We have described an expeditious synthesis of the trisphosphate 3 from D-xylose using a bisacetal protecting group which proved efficient to provide a free 2-OH derivative. It is worth observing that removal of this acetal without affecting the glycosidic bond is not possible on 2-deoxy sugars.



Reagents: i) 95% aq. TFA-CH₂Cl₂ (1-1), room temp. 10 min.; ii) Ac₂O, pyridine (93% from 8); iii) Bu₃SnH, AIBN, toluene (90%); iv) MeONa, MeOH (98%); v) (CNCH₂CH₂O)₂PN*i*Pr₂, 1*H*-tetrazole, CH₂Cl₂, room temp., 4h then *t*BuOOH, 0 °C (66%); vi) KOH-MeOH, 40 °C, 2.5 h (99%).

Scheme 4

EXPERIMENTAL

General procedures. Optical rotations were determined at 20 °C with a Perkin Elmer model 141 automatic. NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C with a Bruker AC250 spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm relative to the signal for internal tetramethylsilane for ¹H NMR and indirectly to the central line of CDCl₃, δ 77.03 for ¹³C NMR spectra; those in deuterium oxide are reported relative to external 2,3 dimethyl-2silapentane-5 sulfate (DSS). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model IRFT Spectrum 1000 spectrometer. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography on Kieselgel 60F₂₅₄ (Merck) with detection by UV light and/or by charring with 15% sulfuric acid in ethanol. Elemental analyses and mass spectra were performed by the "Service Central d'Analyse du CNRS"at Vernaison (France).

(2-Hydroxyethyl) 3,4-O-[(2S,3S) (2,3-dimethoxybutane-2,3-diyl)]- α -D-xylopyranoside (6). A solution of compound 5¹⁰ (1.6 g, 5.25 mmol) in a dichloromethanemethanol mixture (65 mL, 1:1 v/v) was cooled to -70 °C and ozone was bubbled through the solution during 90 minutes. Sodium borohydride (0.79 g, 21 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with hydrochloric acid (3N), water, dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated to afford 1.6 g (98%) of compound 6 as a syrup. R_f 0.32 (CH₂Cl₂/acetone, 6:4); $[\alpha]_D$ +231 (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃); IR 3368 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.87 (d, 1H, J₁₋₂ = 3.6 Hz, H-1); 3.48-3.97 (m, 9H, H-2, H-3, H-4, H-5ax, H-5eq, H-1', H-1", H-2', H-2"); 3.26; 3.33 (2s, 6H, 2×OCH₃); 2.03 (m, 2H, 2×OH); 1.30; 1.34 (2s, 6H, 2×CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 99.0; 99.3; (2×CH₃COCH₃); 98.6 (C-1); 69.7 (C-3); 69.2 (C-2 or C-4); 68.9 (C-1'); 65.8 (C-2 or C-4); 60.6 (C-2'); 59.2 (C-5); 47.4 (2×OCH₃); 17.1; 17.3 (2×CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₄O₈: C, 50.64; H, 7.85. Found: C, 50.76; H, 7.81.

3,4-O-[(2S,3S) (2,3-dimethoxybutane-2,3-diyl) -α-D-xylo-(2-Trityloxyethyl) pyranoside (7). To a solution of compound 6 (1.1 g, 3.56 mmol) in pyridine (24 mL) was added trityl choride (1.89 g, 6.77 mmol) and DMAP (43 mg, 0.35 mmol). The stirred solution was heated at 80 °C during 30 h and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was extracted with dichloromethane. The extract was successively washed with hydrochloric acid (3N), water, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The product was chromatographed on a column of silica gel with hexane-ethyl acetate (first 7:3 then 5:5 v/v) as eluent to give 1.4 g (71%) of compound 7 as a white foam. R_f 0.26 (Hex/AcOEt, 7:3); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +155 (c 1.04, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.53 (m, 6H, Ar); 7.17-7.36 (m, 9H, Ar); 4.91 (d, 1H, $J_{1.2} = 3.6$ Hz, H-1); 3.81-3.93 (m, 2H, H-3, H-1'); 3.59-3.80 (m, 4H, H-2, H-4, H-5', H-1"); 3.55 (dd, 1H, J₅₋₅ = 9.7, J₄₋₅ = 4.4 Hz, H-5); 3.18-3.43 (m, 8H, H-2', H-2", 2×OCH₃); 2.12 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{H-OH} = 9.8$ Hz, OH); 1.32; 1.38 (2s, 6H, 2×CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 143.6 (C ipso); 128.6 (6C, Ar); 127.5 (6C, Ar); 126.7 (3C, Ar); 99.5; 99.2 (2C, 2×CH₃COCH₃); 98.8 (C-1); 86.3 (CPh₃); 70.7 (C-3); 69.6 (C-2 or C-4); 67.2 (C-1'); 65.9 (C-2 or C-4); 62.6 (C-2'); 59.7 (C-5); 48.0; 47.6 (2×OCH₃); 17.5; 17.3 (2×CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C32H38O8: C, 69.80; H, 6.90. Found: C, 69.60; H, 6.75 .

(2-Trityloxyethyl) 3,4-O-[(2S,3S) (2,3-dimethoxybutane-2,3-diyl)]-2-O-(methylxanthyl) - α -D-xylopyranoside (8). A mixture of 7 (0.6 g, 1.08 mmol) and sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 87 mg, 2.17 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (17 mL) was stirred at room temperature during 0.5 h, at which time carbon disulfide (0.13 mL, 2.17 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and an excess of methyl iodide (0.2 mL) was added. The resulting solution was kept with stirring for 0.5 h, diluted with diethyl ether (10 mL) and quenched by careful addition of ice. Diethyl ether and water were added and the organic layer was washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (9:1 v/v) as eluent afforded 0.622 g (90%) of compound **8** as a white foam. R_f 0.26 (Hex/AcOEt, 9:1); $[\alpha]_D$ +165 (*c* 0.52, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.41-7.53 (m, 6H, Ar); 7.15-7.37 (m, 9H, Ar); 5.60 (dd, 1H, J₂₋₃ = 10.2 Hz, H-2); 5.36 (d, 1H, J₁₋₂ = 3.7 Hz, H-1); 4.42 (dd, 1H, J₃₋₄ = 9.5 Hz, H-3); 3.83-3.97 (m, 2H, H-5, H-1'); 3.51-3.64 (m, 2H, H-5', H-1''); 3.22-3.38 (m, 7H, H-2', 2×OCH₃); 3.14 (ddd, 1H, H-2''); 2.47 (s, 3H, SCH₃); 1.33 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 215.4 (OC=SS); 143.9 (C ipso); 128.7 (6C, C Ar); 127.8(6C, C Ar); 126.9 (3C, C Ar); 100.0; 99.9 (2C, 2×CH₃COCH₃); 95.5 (C-1); 86.2 (CPh₃); 78.3 (C-2); 67.5 (2C, C-3, C-1'); 66.5 (C-4); 62.8 (C-2'); 59.5 (C-5); 48.0; 47.9 (2C, 2×OCH₃); 19.0 (SCH₃); 17.8; 17.6 (2C, 2×CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₄₀O₈S₂: C, 63.73; H, 7.85; S, 10.01. Found: C, 63.82; H, 7.75; S, 9.94.

(2-Trityloxyethyl) 2-deoxy-3, 4-*O*-[(2*S*,3*S*) (2,3-dimethoxybutane-2,3-diyl)]-α-D-*threo*-pentopyranoside (9). A solution of freshly prepared¹⁶ tributyltin hydride (0.42 mL, 1.52 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was dropwise added to a solution of the thioester **8** (0.85 g, 1.32 mmol) and AIBN (0.2 g, 0.13 mmol) in refluxing toluene (11 mL). After completion of the reaction (TLC analysis) the solvent was evaporated. Purification by column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (9:1 v/v) as eluent afforded 0.6 g (86%) of compound 9 as a white foam. R_f 0.5 (Hex/AcOEt, 85:15); $[\alpha]_D$ +149 (*c* 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.40-7.54 (m, 6H, Ar); 7.16-7.37 (m, 9H, Ar); 4.97 (dd, 1H, J_{1-2ax} = 2.9 Hz, H-1); 4.13 (ddd, 1H, J₃₋₄ = 8.8 Hz, H-3); 3.52-3.83 (m, 5H, H-4, H-5, H-5', H-1', H-1''); 3.12-3.37 (m, 8H, H-2', H-2'', 2×OCH₃); 2.06 (ddd, 1H, J_{2eq-3} = 5.1, J_{2eq-2ax} = 12.4 Hz, H-2eq) ; 1.78 (ddd, 1H, J_{2ax-3} = 11.7 Hz, H-2ax); 1.28 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 143.8 (C ipso); 128.4 (6C, C Ar); 127.3 (6C, C Ar); 126.5 (3C, C Ar); 99.6; 99.5 (2C, 2×CH₃COCH₃); 97.7 (C-1); 86.2 (CPh₃); 68.2 (C-4); 66.1 (C-1'); 65.0 (C-3); 62.8 (C-2'); 60.2 (C-5); 47.6 (2C, 2×OCH₃); 3.4.4 (C-2) ; 17.7; 17.6 (2C, 2×CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₈O₇: C, 71.88; H, 7.10. Found: C, 71.50; H, 7.06.

1,3,4-Tri-*O***-acetyl-2-deoxy-β-D***-threo***-pentopyranose (11).** R_f 0.73 (Hex/AcOEt , 4:6); $[\alpha]_D$ -21 (*c* 0.62, CHCl₃); IR 1742 (C=O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.96 (dd, 1H, H-1); 4.98 (ddd, 1H, J₃₋₄ = 5.8 Hz, H-3); 4.83 (ddd, 1H, J_{4-5ax} = J_{4-5eq} = 5.1 Hz, H-4); 4.18

(dd, 1H, $J_{5eq-5ax} = 12.8$ Hz, H-5eq); 3.62 (dd, 1H, H-5ax); 2.29 (ddd, 1H, $J_{2eq-2ax} = 14.6$, $J_{1-2eq} = 3.6$, $J_{2eq-3} = 4.4$ Hz, H-2eq); 2.17; 2.11 and 2.07 (3s, 9H, 3×COCH₃); 1.94 (ddd, 1H, $J_{1-2ax} = 4.4$, $J_{2ax-3} = 6.6$ Hz, H-2ax). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 169.6; 169.5; 169.0 (3C, 3×C=O); 90.5 (C-1); 67.6 (C-4); 66.7 (C-3); 61.0 (C-5); 30.3 (C-2); 21.0; 20.8 (3C, 3×CH₃CO).

(2-Acetoxyethyl) 3,4-di-O-acetyl-2-methylxanthate- α -D-xylopyranoside (13). To a solution of compound 8 (2.3 g, 3.57 mmol) in dichloromethane (7 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid in water (95% v/v, 7 mL). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min then the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and neutralised with NaHCO₃. The solid was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (150 mL) and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude pruduct was acetylated using pyridine (9 mL) and acetic anhydride (4.5 mL). After usual work up, purification by column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (7:3 v/v) as eluent gave 1.36 g (93%) of 13 as a syrup. R_f 0.46 (Hex/AcOEt, 6:4); $[\alpha]_D$ +77 (c 3, CHCl₃); IR 1745 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.56-5.72 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3); 5.23 (d, 1H, J₁₋₂ = 2.9 Hz, H-1); 5.01 (ddd, 1H, H-4); 4.16-4.29 (m, 2H, H-2', H-2''); 3.76-3.92 (m, 2H, H-5, H-1'); 3.61-3.75 (m, 2H, H-5', H-1"); 2.52 (s, 3H, SCH₃); 2.05, 2.03, 2.01 (3s, 9H, 3×COCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 200.0 (C=S); 169.87 (C=O); 95.2 (C-1); 77.8 (C-2); 69.3 (C-3); 69.1 (C-4); 66.3 (C 1'); 63.2 (C-2'); 58.4 (C-5); 20.7, 20.6 (3C, 3×CH₃CO); 18.8 (SCH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₃O₉S₂: C, 43.79; H, 5.63; S, 15.58. Found: C, 43.87; H, 5.71; S, 15.45.

(2-Acetoxyethyl) 3,4-di-*O*-acetyl-2-deoxy-α-D-*threo*-pentopyranoside (14). Compound 13 (0.82 g, 2 mmol) was converted into 14 using the procedure described for the preparation of 9. Purification by column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate (7:3 v/v) as eluent afforded 0.55 g (90%) of compound 14 as a gum. R_f 0.43 (Hex/AcOEt, 6:4); $[\alpha]_D$ +69 (*c* 1.08, CHCl₃); IR 1740 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.25 (dd, 1H, J₃₋₄ = 8.4 Hz, H-3); 4.79-4.92 (m, 2H, H-1, H-4); 4.12-4.33 (m, 2H, H 2', H-2"); 3.72-3.90 (m, 2H, H-5, H-1'); 3.56-3.71 (m, 2H, H-5', H-1"); 2.20 (ddd, 1H, J_{2eq-2ax} = 13.2, J_{2eq-3} = 5.2 Hz, H-2eq); 2.07, 2.04, 2.02 (3s, 9H, 3×COCH₃); 1.77 (ddd, 1H, J_{2ax-3} = 10.2 Hz, H-2ax); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 170.5, 169.7, 169.5 (3C, 3×C=O); 96.7 (C-1); 69.0 (C-4); 67.9 (C-3); 65.0 (C-1'); 62.8 (C-2'); 59.5 (C-5); 33.9 (C-2); 20.6, 20.4 (3C, 3×CH₃CO). Anal. Calcd for C13H20O8: C, 51.31; H, 6.62. Found: C, 51.25; H, 6.79.

(2-Hydroxyethyl) 2-deoxy-α-D-threo-pentopyranoside (15). Compound 14 (0.53 g, 1.74 mmol) was deacetylated using sodium methoxide in methanol to furnish 0.3 g (98%) of compound 15 as a gum. R_f 0.14 (AcOEt /MeOH, 95:5); $[\alpha]_D$ +111 (*c* 0.56, MeOH); IR 3368 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (D₂O) δ 5.01 (dd, 1H, H-1); 3.91 (ddd, 1H, J₃₋₄ = 8.3 Hz, H-3); 3.67-3.83 (m, 4H, H-5, H-1', H-2', H-2''); 3.47-3.65 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5', H-1''); 2.01 (ddd, 1H, J_{2eq-2ax} = 13.1, J_{1-2eq} = 2.2, J_{2eq-3} = 4.7 Hz, H-2eq); 1.50 (ddd, 1H, J_{2ax-3} = 10.7, J_{1-2ax} = 3.0 Hz, H-2ax); ¹³C NMR (D₂O) δ 100.4 (C-1); 73.1 (C-4); 71.3 (C-1'); 70.9 (C-3); 64.8 (C-5); 63.3 (C-2'); 39.2 (C-2).

Anal. Calcd for C₇H₁₄O₅: C, 47.19; H, 7.92. Found: C, 47.35; H, 7.84.

[(2-Dicyanoethoxyphosphoryl)ethyl] 2-deoxy-3,4-bis[(2-cyanoethoxy) phosphono]-a-D-threo-pentopyranoside (16). Compound 15 (0.142 g, 0.8 mmol) was dissolved in N,N dimethylformamide (1 mL) and diluted with dichloromethane (12 mL) then 1Htetrazole (0.67 g, 9.56mmol) and bis(2-cyanoethyl) N,N-diisopropyl phosphor-amidite¹³ (1.62 g, 5.97 mmol) were added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature during 4 h then cooled to 0 °C and tBuOOH (70% solution in water, 1 mL) was added. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and was then stirred for 15 min. The clear solution was diluted with dichloromethane (100 mL) and this solution was washed successively with water (10 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (2×10 mL) and water (2×10 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetatemethanol (from 96:4 to 88:12 v/v) as eluent to afford 0.390 g (66%) of compound 16 as a gum. Rf 0.2 (AcOEt /MeOH, 85:15); [\alpha]_D +22 (c 1.68, CHCl₃); IR 2251 (CN); 1278 (P=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.98 (dd, 1H, J_{1-2eq} = 2.2 Hz, H-1); 4.83 (dddd, 1H, H-3); 4.26-4.50 (m, 15H, H-4, H-2', H-2", 6×CH₂CH₂CN); 4.03 (dd, 1H, J_{5eq-5ax} = 11,2, $J_{4.5eq} = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-5eq}$; 3.89 (dddd, 1H, $J_{1'-1''} = 11.9, J_{1'-2''} = J_{1'-2''} = 4.4, {}^{4}J_{\text{H-P}} = 1.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-}$ 1'); 3.78 (dd, 1H, $J_{4-5ax} = 10.7$ Hz, H-5ax); 3.68 (dddd, 1H, $J_{1"-2"} = J_{1"-2"} = 4.4$, ${}^{4}J_{H-P} = 1.3$ Hz, H-1"); 2.75-2.89 (m, 12H, 6×CH₂CH₂CN); 2.50 (ddd, 1H, J_{2eq-2ax} = 13.2, J_{2eq-3} = 4.7 Hz, H-2eq); 1.95 (ddd, 1H, $J_{2ax-3} = 11.2$, $J_{1-2ax} = 3.4$ Hz, H-2ax); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 117.2; 117.1; 117.0 (CN); 96.7 (C-1); 74.7 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{C-P} = 5.2$, ${}^{4}J_{C-P} = 1.0$ Hz, C-4); 74.4 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 5.2$, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 1.0$ Hz, C-3); 67.3 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 5.7$ Hz, C-2"); 66.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 6.7$ Hz, C-1'); 62.5-63.0 (6d, 6C, ²J_{C-P} = 4.9 Hz, 6×CH₂CH₂CN); 60.1 (C-5); 35.9 (C-2); 19.5-19.7 (6d, 6C, 6×CH₂CH₂CN). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃) δ -1.30;-1.91;-1.95 (3s, 3P); Positive-ion FAB-MS: m/z 737.1 (M+H)+

Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₅N₆O₁₄P₃: C, 40.77; H, 4.79; N, 11.41; P, 12.62. Found: C, 40.90; H, 4.85; N, 11.34; P, 12.51.

(2 Hydroxyethyl) 2-deoxy-α-D-*threo*-pentopyranoside 3,4,2' trisphosphate (3). Compound 16 (0.2 g, 0.27 mmol) was dissolved in a methanolic potassium hydroxide solution (0.5 M, 4.5 mL) and heated at 40 °C during 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and neutralised to pH 7 with Dowex 50W (H+) cation-exchange resin. The resin was filtered off and washed twice with methanol. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in water (3 mL) and applied to a column of Bio-Rad Chelex 100 resin (Na⁺ form). The column was eluted with water, fraction containing compound 3 were combined and freeze dried to give 0.146 g (99%) of compound 3 as a white powder. [α]_D +20 (*c* 1.02, H₂O); IR (KBr) 1096 (P-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (D₂O; 400 MHz) δ 4.90 (dd, 1H, J_{1-2eq} = 2.8, J_{1-2ax} = 5.4 Hz, H-1); 4.32 (dddd, 1H, H-3); 3.77-4.00 (m, 5H, H-4, H-5, H-1', H-2', H-2''); 3.59-3.75 (m, 2H, H-5', H-1''); 2.08 (ddd, 1H, J_{2eq-2ax} = 13.7, J_{2eq-3} = 4.5 Hz, H-2eq); 1.91, (ddd, 1H, J_{2ax-3} = 7.5 Hz, H-2ax).¹³C NMR (D₂O) δ 100.6 (C-1); 73.4 (2C, C-3, C-4); 70.7 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 7.3 Hz, C-1'); 65.2 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 3.7 Hz, C-2'); 65.8 (C-5); 37.0 (C-2); ³¹P NMR (D₂O) δ -6.54;-5.75;-5.18 (3s, 3P); Negative mode ESI-MS:*m*/z 417 (M-H).

REFERENCES

- 1. M. J. Berridge, Nature (London), 361, 315 (1993).
- 2. B. V. L. Potter and D. Lampe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 34, 1933 (1995) and references cited therein.
- 3. N. Moitessier, F. Chrétien, Y. Chapleur and C. Humeau, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 36, 8023 (1995).
- 4. R. A. Wilcox, W. M. Primrose, S. Nahorski, R. A. J. Chaliss, *TIPS*, **19**, 467 (1998) and references cited therein.
- 5. E. Fischer, Ber., 47, 196 (1914).
- 6. B. Iselin and T. Reichstein, Helv. Chim. Acta, 27, 1200 (1944).
- 7. M. Trumtel, P. Sinaÿ and A. Veyrières, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **30**, 2529 (1989) and references cited therein.
- 8. B. Giese, K. S. Groeninger, T. Witzel and H.-G. Korth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 26, 233 (1987).
- J.-L. Montchamp, F. Tian, M. E. Hart and J. W. Frost, J. Org. Chem., 61, 3897 (1996).
- 10. D. J. Jenkins and B. V. L. Potter, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 41 (1998).
- S. Valverde, J. Christobal Lopez, A. M. Gomez and S. Garcia Ochoa, J. Org. Chem., 57, 1613 (1992).

SYNTHESIS AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

- 12. D. H. R. Barton and S. W. McCombie, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1574 (1975).
- 13. W. Bannwarth and A. Trzeciak, Helv. Chim. Acta, 70, 175 (1987).
- M. Hilly, F. Piétri-Rouxel, J.-F. Coquil, M. Guy and J.-P. Mauger, J. Biol. Chem., 268, 16488 (1993).
- E. Poirot, H. Bourdon, F. Chrétien, Y. Chapleur, B. Berthon, M. Hilly, J.-P.Mauger and G. Guillon, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 5, 569 (1995).
- 16. H. G. Kuivila, Synthesis, 499 (1970).